Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,839	LIU, BIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold M Kinkead	2817	

All Participants:

(1) *Arnold M Kinkead*.

(2) *Richard J. Paciulan*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *08-20-04*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
double patenting

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:
6,414,557

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A terminal disclaimer sent in to overcome obviousness type double patenting.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)